Citation no. 2019 (3) GSTPanacea 1 HC Karnataka

KARNATAKA HIGH CO

No.- Writ Petition No. 6445 OF 2019 & Writ Petition No.7370 of 2019 (T - RES)

M/s. Shree Enterprises, M/S. Vicky Road Carrier - Appellant Versus The Commercial Tax Officer (GM) (Enf) - Respondent

Mrs. S.Sujatha J.

Date Of Order: 14/03/2019

Petitioners (By Sri. Harish.V.S, Adv.) Respondent (By Sri. Vikram A.Huilgol, Hcgp)

Issues Involved > In the instant case, Petitioners have challenged the order of confiscation as illegal, seeking all consequential reliefs. Petitioners are claiming to be the consignee and transporter of the goods in a moth in violation of the procedure prescribed by the Government of India through Circulian and confiscated the goods and vehicle without there being any order of confiscation or there being areas of tax and penalty.

Held At-It is well settled law that unless the tax and penalty are quantified, no confiscation order could be passed. It is necessary to provide an opportunity to the owner of the goods or person incharge of the goods whole to make power of tax and openalty subsequent to the objections filed, if any GST regime being in very description of the objection of the objection of the objections filed any GST regime being in respondent under <u>Section 129</u>(1)(b) of the Act. Respondent shall consider the objections/reply filed by the reproduction and appropriate offers in accordance with law in an expedite morner (preferably within seven days) after quantifying the tax and pounty for the purpose of <u>Section 12</u>2 of the Act to the profit of the purpose of <u>Section 12</u>2 of the Act. Respondent shall consider the profit of the purpose of <u>Section 12</u>2 of the Act.

JUDGMENT

Petitioners have challenged the order of confiscation issued by the respondent in Form GST VIG- 10 under Section 13M(1), (2) and 12Z (1)(ii) and (v) of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 (for short 'CGST Act') date 2Vol. 2019 as illegal, secking all consequential reliefs.

2. The petitioners are claiming to be the consignee and transporter of the goods in question. It is the contention of the petitioners that the respondent has detained the goods and vehicle illegally for more than a month, in violation of the procedure prescribed by the Government of India through Circulars and confiscated the goods and vehicle without there being any order of confiscation or there being arrears of tax and penalty.

3. The petitioners submit that one M/s Manish Enterprises sold 230 bags of Areca nut consisting of 16,100 kgs to petitioner No.1. The said transaction suffered IGST. Respondent intercepted the verifice entrying the said goods on 41,220 ks at 10.0 pm and Chemagin near Trainh Balta Criele. Despite the driver, in-charge of genuineness of the said documents and initiated on enquiry. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondent came to an unilateral presumption that the consigner is indulging in issuing the insuing the said documents and initiated on enquiry. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondent came to an unilateral presumption that the consigner is indulging in issuing the issuing the insuling the complex of the petitioners of the said in the control of the petitioners and their being no penalty order passed. If there, petitioners said the objections field by the petitioners and there being no penalty order passed. Hence, petitioners seek for setting auch the order impropal being ex-face tilegal.

A Learned I/CQP appearing for the revenue would submit that the contents of the impugned order indicates the quantification of the penalty and fine payable under Section 130(1) or 130(2) of CGST Act. It is a bounded in the content of the penalty and fine payable under Section 130(1) or 130(2) of CGST Act. It is a bounded mixture committed in quoting a wrone provision of law Indeed the asid penalty and fine quantified relates to the penalty liable to be paid by the petitioners under Section 120 of CGST Act. Better of the Section 120 of CGST Act and to outcome that no assessment, "essessment, adjudication, review, revision, appeal, rectification, notice, summons or other proceedings are indeed, previous of any origination, device, summons or other proceedings are industrial to the content of the section of the CGST Act.

5. It is also submitted that on enquiry, it as noticed that the consignor was indulged in bill trading activities Issuing tax invoices without there being any supply of goods is an offence falling under <u>Section 122</u> (ii) of the CGSTKGST Act, 2017. Constraing the confiscation order as the penalty order, the writ petitions require to be dismissed.

6. I have carefully considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record

7. It is apt to refer to relevant provisions of the CGST Act, which reads thus:

"Section 129 - Detention, seizure and release of goods and conveyances in transit.

Statutory provisio

(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, where any person transports any goods or stores any goods with ethey are in transit in contravention of the provisions of this Act or the rules made thereunder, as such goods and coverney more used as a mean of transport for carrying the said goods and document relating to such goods and conveyance shall be liable to detention or seizure and after detention or seizure, shall be released.—

(a) on payment of the applicable tax and penalty equal to one hundred per cent. of the tax payable on such goods and, in case of exempted goods, on payment of an amount equal to two per cent. of the value of goods or twenty-five thousand rupees, whichever is less, where the owner of the goods comes forward for payment of such tax and penalty;

(b) on payment of the applicable tax and penalty equal to the fifty per cent. of the value of the goods reduced by the tax amount paid thereon and, in case of exempted goods, on payment of an amount equal to five per cent. of the value of goods or twenty-five thousand rupees, whichever is less, where the owner of the goods does not come forward for payment of such tax and penalty;

(c) upon furnishing a security equivalent to the amount payable under clause (a) or clause (b) in such form and manner as may be prescribed:

Provided that no such goods or conveyance shall be detained or seized without serving an order of detention or seizure on the person transporting the goods.

(2) The provisions of sub-section (6) of section 67 shall, mutatis mutandis, apply for detention and seizure of goods and conveyances.

(3) The proper officer detaining or selzing goods or conveyances shall issue a notice specifying the tax and penalty payable and thereafter, pass an order for payment of tax and penalty under clause (a) or clause (b) or clause (c) are clause (c).

(4) No tax, interest or penalty shall be determined under sub-section (3) without giving the person concerned an opportunity of being heard.

(5) On payment of amount referred in subsection (1), all proceedings in respect of the notice specified in subsection (3) shall be deemed to be concluded.

(6) Where the person transporting any goods or the owner of the goods fails to pay the amount of tax and penalty as provided in sub-section (1) within seven days of such detention or seizure, further proceedings shall be initiated in accordance with the provisions of Section 120.

Provided that where the detained or seized goods are perishable or hazardous in nature or are likely to depreciate in value with passage of time, the said period of seven days may be reduced by the proper officer.

Section 130 - Confiscation of goods or conveyances and levy of penalty:

(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, if any person –

- (i) supplies or receives any goods in contravention of any of the provisions of this Act or the rules made thereunder with intent to evade payment of tax; or
- (ii) does not account for any goods on which he is liable to pay tax under this Act; or
- (iii) supplies any goods liable to tax under this Act without having applied for registration; or
 (iv) contraveness any of the provisions of this Act or the rules made thereunder with intent to evade payment of tax; or
- payment of tax; or

 (i) tax cay recognize at a means of pransport for carriage of goods in conservation of the
 provisions of this det or the ratio made thermader unless the owner of the conveyance proves that it
 was as used without the knowledge or constructed of the owner through this agent, if any, and the
 person in charge of the conveyance, then, all such goods or conveyances shall be liable to conflication
 and the person stall be liable to pend yander Section 12.1.
- person in charge of the conveyance, then, all such goods or conveyances shall be liable to confiscation and the person shall be liable to penalty under <u>Section 122</u>.

 (2) Whenever confiscation of any goods or conveyance is authorised by this Act, the officer adjudging it shall give to the owner of the goods an option to pay in these of confiscation, such fine as the said officer thinks fit:

8. The Circular instructions issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Finance Department of Revenue, Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs, GST Policy Wing, dated 31.12.2018 indicates who will be considered as the 'owner of the good's for the purposes of Section 129(1) of COST Act. The same is clarified that if the invoice or any other specified document accompanying the consignment of goods, then either the consignor with one consigner should be deemed to be the owner. In the Circular No.112211.52211.523 dated of the consigner of the Consigner of the Consigner of the Great No.112211.52211.523 dated of the Consigner of the Consigner

Where the proper officer is of the opinion that the goods and conveyance need to be detained under <u>Section</u> 129 of the COST Act, he shall issue an order of detention in Form CST <u>MOV-96</u> and a notice in form CST <u>MOV-96</u> and notice in form CST <u>MOV-97</u> in accordance with the provisions for Sib-section (3) of <u>Section 129</u> of the COST Act, specifying the tax and penalty payable. The notice shall be served on the person in charge of the conveyance

In terms of Class (b), where the owner of the goods or any person authorized by him comes forward to make the payment of tax and pennily as applicable under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 120 of the CRST Act, or where cowner of the goods does not come forward to make purpose of fixe and pennily as applicable under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of the said section, the proper officer shall, after the amount of tax and pennily has been paid in accordance with the provisions of the COST Act and COST Rules, release the said section, the proper officer shall, after the amount of tax and pennily has been paid in accordance with the provisions of the COST Act and COST Rules, release the said section of the COST Act and COST Rules. The proper officer shall, after the amount of the cost of the cost

9. In terms of clause (j), where any objections are filed against the proposed amount of tax and penalty payable, the proper officer shall consider such objections and thereafter pass a speaking order in Form GST MOV-409, quantifying the tax and penalty payable. On payment of such tax and penalty, the goods and conveyance shall be released forthwish by an order in Form GST MOV-409.

10. As per clause (k), in case the proposed tax and penalty are not paid within seven days from the date of the issue of the order of detention in Form GST MOV-16, action under Section 130 of the CGST Act shall be initiated, proposing confiscation of the goods and conveyance and imposition of penalty.

be initiated, proposing confiscation of the goods and conveyance and umposition to penaity.

I. In the greens to of facts, it is not indique that the noise under Sugian. 128(11)(b) is of COSTKOST Act, 2017 was issued by the respondent on 2.01.2019, to which objections were filed by the prititioners. In such circumstances, it was incumbent on the part of the respondent to consider the said objections and pass a speaking order quantifying the tax and penally and ordered to consider the said objections affect of the potential properties of the propert



Citation no. 2019 (3) GSTPanacea 1 HC Karnataka

Citation no. 2019 (3) GSTPanacea 1 HC Karnataka passed. It is necessary to provide an opportunity to the owner of the goods or person incharge of the goods which to make propunent of xar and penalty subsequent to the objections filed, if any. Without providing such an opportunity, proceeding to pass confiscation order directly would not be construed as any mistake, defect or omission to come within the unbit of Section 100 of the CGST Act. It is a finalmenteral flam which defect or omission to come within the unbit of Section 100 of the CGST Act. It is a finalmenteral flam which have been considered to the consideration of the consideration is sound by Government of India clarifies the procedure to be followed by the proper officer while clading with these matters. Passing of the penalty order being size qua non in the proceedings initiated by the respondent under Section 1201(b) of the Act and the same being missing, the confinction order impagned herein cannot be held to be justifiable.

12. However, considering the totality of circumstances of the case and the CST regime being in the initial stages with necessarily requires to be examined and analysed by the Proper Officer while interpreting the provisions of the Act, this Court deems it appropriate to quash the order impagned and restore the notice issued by the respondent under Section 1201(b) of CACST Act, 2017 would be revived. Hence, the following:

ORDER

ORDER

i) The impugned order dated 29.01.2019 at Annexure-G is quashed;

ii) The penalty notice dated 2.01.2019 issued under <u>Section 129</u>(1)(b) of CGST Act, KGST Act, 2017 at Annexure-C is restored to the file of the respondent;

in Reponders shall consider the objections/upfy filed by the prittioners and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law in an expellin manner after quantifying the tax and proudly for the purpose of <u>Section</u> <u>122</u> of the Act, On quantification of penalty, goods and conveyance shall be released to the petitioners subject to payment of the penalty quantified.

This exercise shall be done by the respondent in an expedite manner, preferably within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

about:blank 2/2